How does ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA PET/CT impact the treatment management in patients with prostate cancer recurrence after surgery?

Bianchi Lorenzo^{1,2}, Borghesi Marco^{1,2}, Barbaresi Umberto¹, Mineo Bianchi Federico¹, Castellucci Paolo3, Ceci Francesco^{3,4}, Chessa Francesco^{1,2}, Casablanca Carlo¹, Pultrone Cristian Vincenzo^{1,2}, Dababneh Hussam¹, Nanni Cristina³, Schiavina Riccardo^{1,2}, Fanti Stefano¹, Eugenio Brunocilla^{1,2}

1 Department of Underg, S. Onsola-Mabighi University Hospital, University of Bologna, Italy, 2 Department of Specialistic, Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, University of Bologna, Italy, 3 Metropolitan Nuclear Medical Special Control (Internative) and Bologna, Italy, 4 Ahmanison Translational Imaging Division, Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, University of California at Los Angeles (UCLAL). Unded States



Introduction and objective

To evaluate the **clinical impact** of **68Ga-Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)** Positron Emission Tomography/Computed tomography (PET/CT) on the planned management in prostate cancer (PCa) patients with **biochemical recurrence (BCR) after surgery**

Material and Methods

•We retrospectively enrolled **276 patients** submitted to **RP for PCa** and with confirmed **BCR during follow-up**. •To assess the **site of recurrence** each patient was referred to **68Ga-PSMA PET/CT**.

•110 and 90 men were re-staged with 11C-Choline PET/CT and/or Conventional Imaging (including CT scan and/or MRI and/or BS) prior to PSMA PET/CT, respectively.

•For each patient a **clinical approach** was defined as follows: **PSA monitoring**, **salvage RT** delivered to prostatic bed and/or pelvic and/or retroperitoneal lymph nodes, **surgical lymph-nodes dissection**, **surgical metastasis resection**, **systemic therapies**.

•Intended treatment before imaging was determined in our Prostate Cancer Unit Multidisciplinary boar.

•The **re-assessment of treatment after revision of each imaging technique** was recorded by the same board.

•The effective clinical impact of 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT, choline PET/CT and Conventional imaging was rated as major (change in therapeutic approach), minor (same treatment, but modified therapeutic strategy) or none.

Results

Variable	Overall	PSMA PET/CT Negative	PSMA PET/CT Positive	Pvalue
No. of patients (%)	276 (100.0)	145 (52.5)	131 (47.5)	
Age (years) Median IQR	68 62-73	68 62-73	68 65-75	0.4
Pathologic Gleason Score, n (%) 6 7 8-10	20 (7.2) 169 (61.2) 87 (31.6)	13 (9.0) 92 (63.4) 40 (27.6)	7 85.3) 77 (58.8) 47 (55.9)	0.37
Pathologic stage, n (%) Missing data pT2 pT3a pT3b - pT4	9 (3.3) 98 (35.5) 95 (34.4) 74 (26.8)	7 (4.8) 57 (39.3) 54 (37.2) 27 (18.5)	2 (1.5) 41 (31.3) 41 (31.3) 47 (35.9	0.009
PUND, n (%) Missing data No Yes	8 (2.9) 67 (24.3) 201 (72.8)	4 (2.8) 41 (28.5) 100 (69.0)	4 (3.1) 26 (19.8) 101 (77.1)	0.6
Nodal status, n (%) pN0 pN1	164 (81.6) 37 (18.4)	87 (87.0) 13 (13.0)	77 (76.2) 24 (23.8)	0.5
Adjuvant Radiotherapy, n (%) No Yes	205 (73.6) 73 (26.4)	114 (78.6) 31 (21.4)	89 (67.9) 42 (32.1)	0.04
Adjuvant ADT, n (%) No Yes	231 (83.7) 45 (16.3)	128 (88.3) 17 (11.7)	103 (78.6) 25 (21.4)	0.03
PSA at BCR (ng/ml) Median IQR	0.72	0.52	1.1 0.5-2.0	<0.001
PSA ranges at BCR, n (%) 0.2-0.5 0.5-1 1-2 >2	100 (36.2) 71 (25.7) 64 (23.2) 41 (14.9)	70 (48.3) 41 (25.5) 24 (16.5) 10 (6.9)	30 (22.9) 30 (22.9) 40 (30.5) 31 (23.7)	<0.001
Time to BCR (months) Median IQR	26 12-50	27 14-51	26 12-50	0.6
Conventional Imaging, n (%) No Choline-PET CT CT scan MRI Bone Scan	122 (44.2) 110 (39.9) 28 (10.1) 55 (19.9) 57 (15.4)	73 (50.3) 48 (33.1) 9 (6.2) 29 (20.0) 16 (11.0)	49 (37.4) 62 (47.3) 19 (14.5) 26 (19.8) 21 (16.0)	0.05

Figure. 73 yrs. 2008 RP (pT3bN0R0 Gs 4+3). Intermittent ADT from 2011 till July 2017 October 2017 - PSA 0, 6 ng/ml-3- Cho PET negative for recurrent lessons from 2018 no nos 56, 11, 1 ng/ml-3- PSMA PET right Internal II an oadd Incurrence February 2016 -3=LN0 (2/16 positive LNs) 8 months free from ADT with PSA-0,0fng/ml



Table 2a Prostate cancer patients' management after imaging assessment: 68Ga-PSMAPET/CT (n= 276 patients), 11C-Choline PET/CT (n= 110 patients) and conventional imaging (n= 90 patients).

	Clinical managemen t before imaging	68Ga- PSMA PET/CT	Choline PET/CT	Conventio nal imaging (CT/MRI/B S)
No treatment, n (%)	31 (11.2)	99 (35.9)	30 (27.3)	24 (26.7)
Salvage RT, n (%)	105 (38.1)	72 (26.0)	29 (26.4)	32 (35.6)
-prostatic bed	0 (0)	23 (8.3)	8 (7.3)	21 (23.3)
-prostatic bed + pelvic nodes	102 (37)	19 (6.9)	16 (14.6)	10 (11.1)
-pelvic nodes	3 (1.1)	12 (4.3)	2 (1.8)	1 (0.01)
-extrapelvic nodes	0 (0)	15 (5.4)	1 (0.9)	0 (0)
-bones	0 (0)	3 (1.1)	2 (1.8)	0 (0)
-visceral	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Salvage lymph node dissection, n (%)	0 (0)	9 (3.3)	2 (1.8)	0 (0)
Surgical metastasis resection, n (%)	0 (0)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Systemic therapies , n (%)	140 (50.7)	95 (34.4)	49 (44.5)	34 (37.8)

Conclusions

68Ga-PSMA PET/CT revealed a significant clinical impact in restaging PCa patients, since it allows to radically change the intended treatment approach before imaging evaluation, in roughly two out three individuals.

Table 2b Clinical impact of different imaging techiques (namely, 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT in 276 patients, 11C-Choline PET/CT in 110 patients and conventional imaging in 90 patients) on treatment changes.

	68Ga-PSMA PET/CT	11C- Choline PET/CT	Conventional imaging (CE- CT/MRI/BS)
Major clinical impact 🛛 🖊 🖉	177 (64.1)	40 (36.4)	31 (34.4)
 From palliative to curative From curative to palliative From palliative to surveillance From curative to surveillance From surveillance to curative From surveillance to palliative 	49 (17.8) 20 (7.2) 22 (8) 66 (23.9) 14 (5.1) 6 (2.2)	11 (10) 2 (1.8) 8 (7.3) 16 (14.5) 3 (2.7) 0 (0)	6 (6.7) 3 (3.3) 10 (11.1) 7 (7.8) 5 (5.6) 0 (0)
Minor clinical impact	7(2.5)	17 (15.5)	21 (23.3)
 more aggressive/extended treatment less aggressive/extended treatment 	4 (1.4) 3 (1)	17 (15.5) 0 (0)	6 (6.7) 15 (16.7)
No treatment change	92 (33.3)	53 (48.2)	38 (42.2)