ROLE OF MIXED UROTHELIAL-SQUAMOUS HISTOLOGICAL VARIANT PERCENTAGE ON SURVIVAL OUTCOMES AFTER RADICAL CYSTECTOMY FOR BLADDER CANCER

Giovanni La Croce, Richard Naspro, Paolo Barzaghi, Michele Manica, Federico Pellucchi, Lorenzo Rocchini, Antonio Saccà, Diego Angiolilli, Marco Roscigno, Luigi Filippo Da Pozzo

\$

25.

6

6 %

ASST PAPA GIOVANNI XXIII BERGAMO, Department of Urology

Introduction & objectives:

- About 20% of bladder cancer (BC) is represented by non-urothelial variants (pure or mixed). The different histologies are expression of different molecular alterations which are directly related to the disease aggressiveness.
- Identification of the histological variants is mandatory for the good quality treatments and disease management after radical cystectomy (RC).
- Aim of our study was to evaluate the role of mixed urothelial-squamous histological variant percentage on survival outcomes after RC due to BC.

Results:

Descriptive characteristics

Materials & methods:

- We evaluated 34 consecutive non metastatic patients with BHV, We evaluated 391 consecutive non-metastatic patients diagnosed with BC and treated with RC at a single tertiary referral centre between 2008 and 2015.
- Specimens were evaluated by a dedicated uro-pathologist.
- Univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analyses model was used to predict cancer specific mortality (CSM), overall mortality (OM) and recurrence rate. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to compare recurrence, CSM and OM in overall population considering patients with the mixed histological variants.
- Covariates included age at surgery, gender, pathological T stage, pathological N stage, pathological grade, surgical margins and lymph vascular invasion.

Kaplan meier analysis assessing survival and recurrence in

Variables	OVERALL (n=391)	MIXED UROTHELIAL (N= 72; 18%)	PURE UROTHELIAL (N= 319; 82%)	P-VALUE
Age at surgery, years Median (IQR)	71 (40-90)	71 (48-84)	70 (40-90)	0.5
Gender, n (%) Male	322 (82)	57 (79)	265 (82)	0.06
Female	69 (18)	15 (21)	54 (18)	
ASA score, n (%)				
1	36 (9)	5 (7)	31 (9)	
2	183 (47)	34 (47)	149 (47)	0.1
3	145 (37)	28 (39)	117 (37)	
4	27 (6)	5 (7)	22 (7)	
Tumor stage, n (%)				
2	217 (54,5)	36 (50)	181 (56.7)	0.03
3-4	174 (44,5)	36 (50)	138 (43.3)	
Margins, n (%)				
Positive	51 (13)	14 (19.5)	37 (11,5)	0.01
Negative	340 (87)	58 (80.5)	282 (88,5)	
Pathologic nodal stage, n (%)				
pN0	274 (70.1)	35 (48.6)	212 (66.5)	0.01
pN +	117 (29.9)	37 (51.4)	107 (43.5)	
Number of LN				
removed, n (%)	23 (10-73)	25 (10-63)	22 (10-73)	0.05
LVI, n (%)				
Yes	35 (9)	34 (47)	93 (29)	0.01
Νο	356 (91)	38 (53)	226 (71)	
Adjuvant chemotherapy, n (%)				
Yes	57 (14.6)	12 (16.6)	45 (14.1)	0.06
Νο	334 (85,4)	60 (84,4)	274(85,9)	
Adjuvant radiotherapy. n (%)				
Yes	25 (6.4)	5 (6.9)	20 (6.3)	0.2
No	366 (93.6)	67 (93.1)	299 (93.7)	
Postonerative				0.1
follow-up, months Median (IQR)	30 (5-130)	28 (5-110)	31 (5-109)	0.1

patients stratified according to Histology and to Urothelial-Squamous Histological variant percentage (median 60%; IQR 5-95%)



Univariable and Multivariable Cox Regression predicting CSM, OM and Recurrence

	CANCER SPECIFIC MO	RTALITY	OVERALL MORT	ALITY	RECURRE	NCE		CANCER SPECIFIC MC	RTALITY	OVERALL MORT	ALITY	RECURRENC	,E
	Univariable		Univariable		Univarial	ble		Multivariable		Multivariabl	e	Multivariable	3
	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value		HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Age at surgery	1 (0.99-1.02)	0.7	1.09 (1.07-3.16)	<0.001	1 (0.99-1.02)	0.7	Age at surgery	1 (0.99-1.02)	0.7	1.09 (1.07-1.1)	0.02	1,6 (0.97-1.52)	0.5
ASA	1.07 (0.91-1.25)	0.4	1.34 (1.22-1.47)	<0.001	1.07 (0.91-1.25)	0.4	ASA	1.07 (0.91-1.25)	0.4	1.34 (1.22-1.47)	<0.001	1.15 (0.61-1.15)	0.4
Gender	2.5 (0.96-3.67)	0.6	1.22 (0.83-1.79)	0.3	1.5 (0.97-3.67)	0.3	Gender	1.5 (0.82-3.67)	0.3	1.22 (0.83-1.79)	0.3	1.52 (0.7-1.67)	0.3
Pathologic tumor stage T2 vs T3-T4	3.7 (2.39-5.73)	<0.001	0.93 (0.63-1.37)	0.7	3.7 (2.39-5.73)	<0.001	Pathologic tumor stage T2 vs T3-T4	3.7 (2.39-5.73)	<0.001	0.93 (0.63-1.37)	0.7	3.7 (2.39-5.73)	<0.001
Pathologic nodal stage pN0 vs pN+	2.91 (1.79-4.73)	<0.001	1.38 (0.65-2.93)	0.4	2.91 (1.79-4.73)	<0.001	Pathologic nodal stage pN0 vs pN+	2.91 (1.79-4.73)	<0.001	1.38 (0.65-2.93)	0.4	2.91 (1.79-4.73)	<0.001
LVI	1.07 (1.91-2.25)	<0.001	1.11 (1.09-1.27)	0.3	1.07 (1.91-2.25)	<0.001	LVI	1.07 (0.91-1.25)	0.4	1.11 (1.09-1.27)	0.3	2.17 (1.41-4.25)	<0.001
Adjuvant Radiotherapy	12.27 (5.90-22.32)	<0.001	1.17 (0.78-1.72)	0.3	11.4 (3.90- 21.32)	<0.001	Adjuvant Radiotherapy	1.27 (0.90-1.32)	0.07	1.17 (0.78-1.72)	0.3	11.27 (4.90-18.32)	<0.001
Adjuvant Chemotherapy	10.17 (4.88-21.34)	<0.001	1.14 (1.12-1.47)	0.4	10.6 (4.88-	<0.001	Adjuvant Chemotherapy	10.17 (4.88-22.34)	<0.001	1.14 (0.82-1.66)	0.4	14.17 (6.88-24.34)	<0.001
					18.34)		Histological mixed variant	1.27 (0.90-1.32)	0.5	1.17 (0.88-1.52)	0.3	1.27 (0.90-1.32)	0.5
Histological mixed variant	1,55 (1.05-4.84)	<0.001	1.11 (1.09-1.27)	0.08	1.28 (1.02-3.92)	<0.001							
Histological mixed variant %	2,45 (1.35-5.64)	<0.001	1.17 (0.78-1.72)	0.3	1,88 (1.08-4.02)	<0.001	Histological mixed variant %	1.17 (0.88-1.34)	0.4	1.18 (0.92-1.47)	0.4	1.17 (0.88-1.34)	0.4

Conclusions: Our study confirms literature data about the frequency of histological variants at RC. Moreover, the mixed variants, although presenting more aggressive features, do not seem to impact negatively on survival outcomes compared with pure urothelial BC, even without considering the

	 Sistem 	a Socio Sanitario
Ospedale		Regione



